

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,568	08/30/2001	John S. Erickson	1509-215	6171
22429	7590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP			BACKER, FIRMIN	
1700 DIAGO SUITE 300 /3	- 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	JA, VA 22314		3621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		09/941,568	ERICKSON ET AL.			
Office Action S	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·		Firmin Backer	3621			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF Th - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extension	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. It is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period wanded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(: 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to comme	unication(s) filed on <u>23 Ma</u>	<u>arch 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This a	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are p	ending in the application.					
4a) Of the above clain	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are su	ubject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is ob	jected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not reque	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	·	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11						
a) All b) Some * c 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the complication from the attached detail 13) Acknowledgment is ma	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents ertified copies of the prior the International Bureau ed Office action for a list of de of a claim for domestic	s have been received in Application it is to be a receive ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application)			
37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of	the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statemen	Prawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Page 1	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/941,568 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

Response to Amendment

This is in response to an amendment file on March 23rd, 2004 for letter for patent filed on August 30th, 2001 in which claims 1-10 were presented for examination. In the amendment, claims 1-10 have been amended, no claim has been canceled, and claims 11-18 have been added.

Claims 1-18 are currently pending in the letter.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conant et al (U.S. PG Pub. No. 2002/0129056) in view of Clark (U.S. Patent No. 6,343,280)
- 4. As per claims 1, 9 and 10, Conant et al teach an apparatus for determining the output of a contract or agreement at any point in time as required, comprising means for creating a state

Application/Control Number: 09/941,568

Art Unit: 3621

machine representative of the contract or agreement at least some of the clauses and/or conditions of the contract or agreement being represented as a respective state variable of the state machine, means for storing the state machine, means for receiving data representative of one or more events relevant to the contract or agreement, determining whether the event changes the status of the state machine changing the status of the state machine if required (paragraphs 0004, 0006, 0025, 0036, 0037, 0040, 0043, 0047, 0056). Conant et al fail to teach an inventive concept of right or obligation and determining the right or obligation in response to the received data and the status of the state machine. However, Clark teaches an inventive concept of right or obligation and determining the right or obligation in response to the received data and the status of the state machine (see abstract, claim 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inventive concept of Conant et al's to include Clark's an inventive concept of determining the right or obligation in response to the received data and the status of the state machine because this would have provide a system that facilitate contract agreement.

Page 3

- 5. As per claims 2, Conant et al teach an apparatus comprising means for storing a plurality of state machines, each representative of a respective contract or agreement, the output of each the contract or agreement being determinable concurrently as required (paragraphs 0004, 0006, 0025, 0036, 0037, 0040, 0043, 0047, 0056).
- 6. As per claims 3, Conant et al teach an apparatus wherein the computer language used to realize the apparatus is an object-orientated computer language, such that the output of a contract

Art Unit: 3621

state machine object are assertions that the object makes to other objects or systems (paragraphs 0004, 0006, 0025, 0036, 0037, 0040, 0043, 0047, 0056).

- 7. As per claims 4, Conant et al teach an apparatus including software components or systems which receive the output assertions of the virtual contract, and determine and implement the "wishes" or "intentions" of the contracts, as required (paragraphs 0004, 0006, 0025, 0036, 0037, 0040, 0043, 0047, 0056).
- 8. As per claims 5, Conant et al teach an apparatus comprising a kernel including means for storing a plurality of contract or agreements in the form of state machines, means for receiving information regarding events relevant to one or more of the contracts or agreements, and means for changing the state of one or more of the state machines as required according to the event (paragraphs 0004, 0006, 0025, 0036, 0037, 0040, 0043, 0047, 0056).
- 9. As per claims 6, Conant et al teach an apparatus comprising an event queue which accommodates "external" and "internal" events (paragraphs 0004, 0006, 0025, 0036, 0037. 0040, 0043, 0047, 0056).
- 10. As per claims 7, Conant et al teach an apparatus wherein if the state of a contract or agreement is not changed for a predetermined period of time, the contract is persisted to storage means to await the occurrence of one or more events which effect its behaviour or output (paragraphs 0004, 0006, 0025, 0036, 0037, 0040, 0043, 0047, 0056).

Application/Control Number: 09/941,568 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

11. As per claims 8, Conant et al teach an apparatus wherein upon initialisation, virtual contracts are registered with a virtual contract manager such that they can subscribe to events that affect their behaviour to output at any given time (paragraphs 0004, 0006, 0025, 0036, 0037, 0040, 0043, 0047, 0056).

12. As per claims 11-18, they disclose the same inventive concept as disclosed in claims 1-10. Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Firmin Backer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3621

May 12, 2004